Nigerian Association of Clinical Psychologists (NACP)

Nigerian Journal of Clinical Psychology (NJCP)

© Nigerian Association of Clinical Psychologists
ISSN: 0189-2304
E-ISSN: 2971-6306

2025, Vol.15, No. 2, 139- 164
http://nacpjournal.org.ng
nacpjournals@gmail.com
DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.18271060

# INTERCULTURAL MARRIAGE AND PSYCHOLOGICAL ADJUSTMENT AMONGST NIGERIAN COUPLES: A QUALITATIVE EXPLORATION OF IDENTITY, CONFLICT, AND RESILIENCE.

**[1]Eze Ogbonnia Eze, Igwe Prince Benedict, Ezedigwe Ekene, Ugota Francis, Chukwunulu Ogochukwu Rita & Odom Andrew**

[1]Department of Psychology, Ebonyi State University, Abakaliki, Nigeria.
**(Correspondence Email: eze.ogbonnia@ebsu.edu.ng, Mobile: +234 803 455 4687)**

## ABSTRACT

*Intercultural marriages are increasingly common in Nigeria, a nation rich in ethnic, linguistic, and religious diversity. These unions often involve complex processes of cultural negotiation, identity adaptation, and psychosocial adjustment. This study explored the lived experiences of Nigerian couples in intercultural marriages, focusing on their psychological adjustment, interpersonal dynamics, and coping strategies. Using a phenomenological approach, in-depth semi-structured interviews were conducted with 15 couples (30 individuals) from diverse ethnic and religious backgrounds across Nigeria. Thematic analysis revealed key challenges, including identity conflict, familial disapproval, inter-religious tensions, and societal judgement. However, participants also demonstrated resilience through cultural compromise, spiritual practices, social support, and shared values. Findings highlight the deep interplay between culture and psychological well-being, revealing how intercultural couples in Nigeria negotiate and reconcile their diverse backgrounds to build resilient unions. The study offers insights for psychologists, marriage counselors, and policymakers seeking culturally sensitive interventions that promote marital harmony in pluralistic societies.*

**Keywords:** *Intercultural marriage, Psychological adjustment, Nigeria, Cross-cultural relationships, Acculturation*

**Editor-in-Chief**
Prof. Henry Odhianosen Imhonde
**Assistant Editor-in-Chief**
Dr. Oyeleke Johnson
**Associate Editors**: Ajibola Abdulrahamon Ishola, Dr. Leonard Orji, Dr. Daniel Kumuyi, Dr. Chinyere Okonkwo, Dr. Juliana Pwajok, Dr. Samson Olowo Kolawole, Dr. Victor Onyecho
**Consulting Editors**:
Prof. Peter. O. Ebigbo, Prof. Ebenezer. O. Akinowo, Prof. Helen O. Osinowo, Prof. Onaolopo K. Taiwo, Prof. Erhabor Idemudia, Prof. Harry Obi Nwosu, Prof. Obiageli Omeje, Prof. Benjamin O. Olley, Prof. Gboyega Emmanuel Abikoye, Prof. Bolade O. Mokuolu

## INTRODUCTION

In recent decades, intercultural marriages have become increasingly prevalent in many parts of the world due to globalization, migration, urbanization, and greater interethnic contact (Gonzalez, 2020; Salvatore et al., 2021). Intercultural marriage refers to a marital union between individuals from differing ethnic, cultural, religious, or linguistic backgrounds. In culturally heterogeneous countries like Nigeria, with over 250 ethnic groups and significant religious diversity (National Population Commission [NPC], 2020), such unions are particularly notable and complex. These marriages may involve significant psychological demands as couples navigate cultural differences, manage societal and familial expectations, and develop new shared identities.

From a psychological standpoint, intercultural marriages present unique relational challenges and opportunities. Research has shown that partners in intercultural unions often face elevated levels of marital stress due to identity conflict, value clashes, language barriers, and differing expectations around gender roles and parenting (Biever et al., 2021; Killian, 2013). These stressors can influence psychological well-being and marital satisfaction, necessitating effective coping strategies, high levels of emotional intelligence, and mutual cultural sensitivity (Zhang & Van Hook, 2022). In addition, intercultural marriages require the constant negotiation of cultural boundaries and identity integration, processes that can deeply affect individuals' sense of belonging, autonomy, and emotional security (Matsumoto & Juang, 2016).

While intercultural marriage has been widely studied in Western and Asian contexts, there remains a paucity of research exploring this phenomenon in African societies, particularly in Nigeria. Nigerian intercultural couples often contend with both traditional and modern influences. For instance, family involvement in marriage decisions, communal identity, and the centrality of religious institutions can either support or hinder psychological adjustment (Adedokun & Olanrewaju, 2020). Intercultural unions in Nigeria such as Igbo-Hausa, Yoruba-Ijaw, or Christian-Muslim marriages may trigger social stigma or familial rejection, which could impact mental health, spousal intimacy, and long-term relationship satisfaction (Okeke-Ihejirika & Salami, 2018).

Moreover, psychology-based approaches to understanding intercultural marriage in Nigeria are largely underdeveloped, with limited qualitative studies capturing the lived experiences of such couples. Theoretical models such as Berry's (1997) acculturation framework and Tajfel and Turner's (1986) social identity theory offer useful lenses for examining how individuals in intercultural relationships adjust to new cultural environments and negotiate dual or hybrid identities. These theories underscore the dynamic and interpersonal processes that define intercultural marital adjustment. Given the contextual complexity of marriage in Nigeria, this study adopts a qualitative approach to explore how intercultural couples experience psychological adjustment. The goal is to understand the emotional, relational, and cultural factors that shape their marital journeys, as well as the strategies they employ to foster resilience and harmony in the face of cultural differences.

## Nigeria's Cultural and Religious Diversity

Nigeria is widely recognized as one of the most culturally and religiously diverse nations in the world. With an estimated population exceeding 200 million people, the country is home to over **250 ethnic groups** and more than **500 languages**, making it a complex mosaic of identities and traditions (National Bureau of Statistics [NBS], 2021). The three largest ethnic groups the Hausa-Fulani in the north, the Yoruba in the southwest, and the Igbo in the southeast, each maintain distinct cultural norms, languages, traditional belief systems, and sociopolitical structures (Falola & Heaton, 2008). Alongside these major groups are numerous minority ethnic communities, each with its own unique worldview and practices, especially regarding marriage, family, gender roles, and social expectations.

Religiously, Nigeria is sharply divided between Islam and Christianity, with a small but resilient population adhering to African Traditional Religions (ATR). Islam is predominantly practiced in the northern regions, Christianity dominates in the southern and central areas, while traditional religious beliefs are more prominent in rural and mixed ethnic communities (Pew Research Center, 2018). These religious identities are not merely private beliefs; they are intricately woven into social institutions, political affiliations, legal systems (such as Sharia law in northern states), and interpersonal relationships

(Salawu, 2010). As a result, religious and ethnic identities are salient and highly consequential in everyday social interactions, including marriage and family life.

The convergence of ethnic and religious plurality in Nigeria often fosters both intergroup cooperation and tension. On one hand, urbanization and modernization have increased inter-ethnic and interfaith contact, particularly in cities like Lagos, Abuja, and Port Harcourt. On the other hand, deep-seated historical grievances, political manipulation of identity, and regional disparities have sustained mistrust and intergroup prejudice (Osaghae &Suberu, 2005). These dynamics influence interpersonal relationships and can significantly impact intercultural marriages, as couples often find themselves navigating not only familial and cultural expectations but also broader societal attitudes toward ethnic and religious mixing.For psychologists and researchers interested in relational and cultural dynamics, Nigeria offers a unique context where identity negotiation, acculturation, and resilience occur within a highly diverse and sometimes polarized environment. Intercultural marriage in this setting represents more than a union between two individuals; it is a meeting point of worldviews, traditions, and belief systems, often requiring considerable emotional labor, cultural flexibility, and social navigation. Understanding how couples adjust psychologically within this complex social fabric is essential to developing culturally responsive mental health and family support services.

**Rise of Intercultural Unions in Urban Areas**

In contemporary Nigeria, the growth of intercultural unions marriages between individuals from different ethnic, religious, or cultural backgrounds is increasingly evident, particularly in urban centers. This rise is largely attributed to urbanization, internal migration, globalization, and higher levels of education, all of which contribute to increased intergroup contact and social integration (Olayiwola, 2016). Cities like Lagos, Abuja, Port Harcourt, and Kano serve as melting pots of Nigeria's diverse ethnicities, religions, and languages. In these metropolitan environments, individuals are more likely to engage in cross-cultural interactions at workplaces, universities, markets, religious gatherings, and recreational spaces, leading to greater possibilities for intercultural romantic relationships (Okon, 2022).

Urban life tends to foster more liberal attitudes toward cultural diversity and individual choice, particularly among younger generations. Studies show that urban dwellers are generally more open to intercultural dating and marriage than their rural counterparts, who may adhere more strictly to traditional norms around ethnic and religious endogamy (Eze &Madukwe, 2021). In addition, the anonymity and relative independence offered by city life often provide intercultural couples with greater autonomy from family or community surveillance, allowing them to make marital choices that may be considered unconventional in their native regions.

Higher education institutions, many of which are located in urban settings, also play a significant role in promoting interethnic interactions. University campuses often serve as microcosms of national diversity, bringing together students from different parts of the country. This diversity, combined with shared academic and social experiences, frequently facilitates cross-cultural friendships that may develop into long-term relationships and marriages (Olutayo&Akanle, 2009).

Despite their increasing prevalence, intercultural marriages in urban areas are not without challenges. Couples often face resistance from extended families, pressure to preserve cultural traditions, and the stress of navigating linguistic and religious differences. However, many of these unions also demonstrate resilience, adaptability, and a commitment to shared values that transcend cultural boundaries (Okafor &Atinmo, 2017). The urban context, with its exposure to diverse lifestyles and access to social services such as counseling and religious mediation, provides a more enabling environment for such relationships to thrive.

Understanding the rise of intercultural unions in Nigeria's urban spaces is essential, as it highlights shifting patterns in relationship formation and identity negotiation in a society traditionally shaped by ethnic and religious boundaries. It also provides an important backdrop for examining how intercultural couples psychologically adjust to the complexities of marital life in a pluralistic society.

**Psychological Relevance of Studying Intercultural Marriages**

Intercultural marriages offer a unique context for examining complex

psychological processes such as identity negotiation, emotional regulation, cultural adaptation, and interpersonal conflict resolution. From a psychological standpoint, these unions are significant because they challenge individuals to navigate differences in values, communication styles, gender expectations, parenting norms, and religious practices elements that are central to psychological well-being and relationship satisfaction (Matsumoto & Juang, 2016; Zhang & Van Hook, 2022). As such, studying intercultural marriages contributes to a broader understanding of how people maintain mental and emotional balance within culturally dynamic intimate relationships.

Psychological research has consistently shown that cultural dissimilarities within marriages can increase vulnerability to stress, miscommunication, and interpersonal strain, especially when spouses lack the skills or support systems to manage cultural conflict (Killian, 2013; Biever et al., 2021). For example, differences in emotional expression and coping strategies may lead to misunderstandings and feelings of isolation. Moreover, external stressors such as family disapproval, societal prejudice, and conflicting religious expectations can further compromise psychological adjustment and marital stability (Salvatore et al., 2021).

However, intercultural marriages can also serve as sites for psychological growth and resilience. Couples who successfully manage cultural differences often develop higher levels of cultural empathy, open-mindedness, and emotional intelligence (Gonzalez, 2020). These adaptive traits are associated with better conflict resolution skills and stronger relational bonds, making such marriages valuable case studies for understanding positive psychological adaptation. Studying these unions also provides insight into the mechanisms of identity flexibility and bicultural competence skills that are increasingly important in a globalized world (Berry, 2005).

In the Nigerian context, the psychological dynamics of intercultural marriage are particularly relevant given the country's strong ethnic and religious boundaries. Marital unions that cross these boundaries often involve deep emotional negotiation and identity shifts, especially when individuals must reconcile personal values with cultural or familial expectations.

These experiences provide a rich terrain for exploring concepts such as acculturative stress, identity fusion, social support, and cultural resilience from an African-centered psychological perspective (Adegoke, 2010; Okeke-Ihejirika & Salami, 2018).

Despite their prevalence, intercultural marriages remain underexplored in Nigerian psychological literature. A focused psychological study of these unions can inform culturally competent marital counseling, social policy design, and intergroup relations initiatives. It also contributes to decolonizing psychology by highlighting indigenous experiences of adaptation, resistance, and emotional survival in diverse marital settings.

**Theoretical Framework:**

This study applies acculturation theory, identity negotiation theory, and ecological systems theory to explain the psychological adjustment of intercultural couples in Nigeria. Together, these frameworks explain cultural adaptation, interpersonal identity management, and the broader social environments shaping marital experiences.

**Acculturation Theory:** Acculturation theory explains the psychological and cultural adjustments that occur when individuals from different cultural backgrounds interact continuously (Berry, 1997). In intercultural marriages, this process involves adapting to differing values, beliefs, languages, and customs. Berry's four strategies integration, assimilation, separation, and marginalization shape marital harmony or conflict. Research shows that couples who adopt integration strategies tend to report higher marital satisfaction and psychological well-being (Nguyen & Benet-Martínez, 2022).In Nigeria, strong ethnic and religious identities can intensify acculturative stress, leading to anxiety, identity confusion, or relationship conflict when societal pressures favor one culture over another (Guan et al., 2021). Acculturation theory therefore helps explain how couples manage multiple cultural demands.

**Identity Negotiation Theory:** Identity negotiation theory emphasizes individuals' need to maintain cultural identity while seeking acceptance and validation in relationships (Ting-Toomey, 2005). In marriage, this involves continuous communication through which partners affirm or adjust ethnic, religious, and personal identities.For Nigerian

intercultural couples, identity negotiation is often complicated by expectations surrounding gender roles, religion, and extended family responsibilities. Effective negotiation depends on empathy, emotional intelligence, and interpersonal competence, which promote mutual understanding and reduce conflict (Young &Gudykunst, 2020). Poor negotiation skills are associated with reduced marital satisfaction and increased tension.

**Ecological Systems Theory:** Ecological systems theory explains how behavior and adjustment are shaped by interconnected environmental systems, including family, community, institutions, and cultural norms (Bronfenbrenner, 1979; Bronfenbrenner & Morris, 2006). In intercultural marriages, couples are influenced by immediate relationships as well as broader social and cultural structures.In Nigeria, extended families, religious institutions, and ethnic communities play a central role in marital life. Intercultural couples must navigate societal expectations, communal judgment, and structural barriers to acceptance, all of which affect psychological adjustment (Onah &Agbaji, 2023). This theory highlights that adjustment is shaped by social contexts, not individual effort alone.

**Emotional and Psychological Challenges in Intercultural Marriages Globally**

Intercultural marriages present emotional and psychological challenges due to differences in values, communication styles, religion, gender roles, and family expectations (Fons-Scheyd et al., 2020; Kim & Harris, 2022). Studies show that intercultural couples often face higher stress levels than intracultural couples because of identity conflicts and cultural misunderstandings (Sharma & Leong, 2021).Cultural value conflicts are common, particularly between individualistic and collectivist orientations, leading to misunderstandings and emotional distance if unmanaged (Cools, 2021). Identity stress may arise when partners feel pressured to abandon their cultural identity, resulting in role confusion and reduced self-esteem (Maehara & Takahashi, 2022). Women often experience heightened stress when cultural expectations conflict with personal values (Singh & Hynie, 2020).

Language barriers and culturally shaped communication styles further affect emotional intimacy and increase frustration (Barta et al., 2019; Ghasemi & Mazaheri, 2023). External pressures such as family disapproval, discrimination, and cultural

stigma also negatively influence emotional well-being and marital satisfaction (Chen & Broady, 2021; Obiesie & Ekanem, 2021).Despite these challenges, resilience, cultural learning, mutual respect, and shared meaning-making enhance psychological well-being and relationship stability (Nanditha & Pitt, 2023).

Global research shows that intercultural marriages involve complex emotional and psychological challenges related to cultural conflict, identity negotiation, communication difficulties, and social pressures. Acculturation, identity negotiation, and ecological systems theories provide useful frameworks for understanding how couples adapt within layered social environments.While studies from Western and Asian contexts highlight both stress and resilience among intercultural couples, Nigerian research remains limited and largely sociological. Few studies focus on psychological adjustment or explore couples' lived emotional experiences using qualitative approaches.Despite the growing prevalence of intercultural marriages in Nigeria, psychological research on emotional adjustment and coping remains scarce. Existing studies rarely apply psychological theories or explore experiences from both partners' perspectives. Qualitative research capturing identity negotiation, emotional resilience, and contextual influences is particularly lacking.This study addresses these gaps by qualitatively examining how intercultural couples in Nigeria navigate emotional, cultural, and identity-related challenges and develop coping strategies within their marriages, contributing to culturally grounded psychological knowledge and intervention planning.

**Purpose of the Study**

The primary aim of this study is to explore the psychological experiences of intercultural couples in Nigeria. Specifically, the study seeks to:

1. Examine how intercultural couples in Nigeria experience and negotiate cultural, ethnic, and religious differences in their marriages.
2. Explore the psychological challenges and stressors faced by intercultural couples within the Nigerian socio-cultural context.
3. Identify the coping mechanisms and resilience strategies employed by these couples to manage intercultural conflict and foster marital harmony.

4. Understand the role of identity negotiation and emotional adaptation in the psychological adjustment of intercultural couples.
5. Contribute to culturally informed psychological counseling practices for couples in intercultural unions.

**Research Questions**

1. How do intercultural couples in Nigeria experience and navigate ethnic, cultural, and religious differences within their marriages?
2. What are the major psychological stressors or challenges encountered by intercultural couples in the Nigerian context?
3. How do these couples manage interpersonal conflicts arising from cultural or religious differences?
4. What coping strategies and support systems contribute to psychological resilience and marital satisfaction among intercultural couples?
5. In what ways do intercultural couples engage in identity negotiation and emotional adaptation as part of their marital adjustment?

## METHOD

**Design**

This study used a qualitative phenomenological design to explore the lived experiences of intercultural marriages in Nigeria. Phenomenology is appropriate because it captures how individuals perceive, interpret, and navigate their marital experiences (Creswell &Poth, 2018). This approach emphasizes in-depth exploration of meaning-making, psychological adjustment, and interpersonal dynamics in real-life contexts.The design allowed access to the emotional and cognitive layers of intercultural marriage experiences shaped by values, traditions, communication styles, gender norms, religion, and societal expectations (Van Manen, 2016). The aim was to provide rich, contextual insights rather than generalizable findings, addressing the scarcity of Nigerian-based psychological research on this topic.

**Participants**

The study involved 15 intercultural couples (30 individuals) living in Lagos, Abuja, Port Harcourt, and Enugu, urban centers known for ethnic and religious diversity. Couples were purposively selected to include marriages crossing ethnic (e.g.,

Igbo–Hausa, Yoruba–Efik) and/or religious (e.g., Christian–Muslim, Muslim–Traditionalist) boundaries.

Inclusion criteria were:

- Married for at least two years.
- Both partners were Nigerian citizens.
- At least one partner identified as being in an intercultural marriage.
- Both partners were willing to participate and provide informed consent.

The sample size was sufficient to reach data saturation, allowing for rich and diverse perspectives (Guest, Bunce, & Johnson, 2006). Variation in region, gender, age, and religion was considered to enhance heterogeneity.

**Sampling and Recruitment**

Purposive, criterion-based sampling was employed to recruit participants with rich firsthand experience (Palinkas et al., 2015). Recruitment was conducted through community networks, religious institutions, counseling centers, online platforms, and professional referrals. Snowball sampling was also used to reach less accessible couples.

The study used a phenomenological approach with 15 purposively selected intercultural couples, consistent with qualitative standards emphasizing depth and data saturation. Participants represented diverse ethnic and religious backgrounds relevant to the Nigerian context. Ethnically, the marriages included Igbo–Yoruba (n = 4 couples), Igbo–Hausa (n = 3 couples), Yoruba–Hausa (n = 2 couples), Igbo–minority ethnic group (n = 3 couples) (including Efik, Tiv, and Idoma), and Yoruba–minority ethnic group (n = 3 couples). Religiously, the sample included Christian–Christian (n = 7), Muslim–Muslim (n = 3), and Christian–Muslim (n = 5) unions. This range of ethnic, linguistic, and religious configurations provides meaningful cultural variation, supporting the transferability of the findings within studies of intercultural marriage and psychological adjustment in Nigeria. Inclusion criteria required couples to: (1) be in a legally recognized intercultural marriage in Nigeria, (2) have been married for a minimum of two years, and (3) consist of partners from different ethnic and/or religious backgrounds. This strategy ensured that the sample provided rich, meaningful variation while remaining appropriate for phenomenological inquiry.

Data saturation was achieved at 15 couples because subsequent interviews yielded no new themes beyond those already identified across participants. Recurring patterns in identity negotiation, conflict, and resilience

were consistently observed despite ethnic, linguistic, and religious diversity, indicating thematic saturation.

**Instrument**

Data were collected using a semi-structured interview guide that covered:

- Cultural and religious backgrounds
- Motivations for the marriage
- Family and community reactions
- Emotional and psychological challenges
- Strategies for navigating differences
- Reflections on identity, adaptation, and marital satisfaction

Open-ended questions such as "Can you describe a time when your cultural differences caused a misunderstanding?" encouraged detailed narratives.

**Procedure**

Interviews were conducted face-to-face in Enugu or by telephone in Lagos, Abuja, and Port Harcourt, based on participant convenience. Each session lasted 45–90 minutes and was conducted in English or a combination of English and Pidgin English (Smith, Flowers, & Larkin, 2022).With consent, all interviews were audio-recorded. Telephone interviews used call-recording applications. Transcriptions were verbatim, and translations were verified for accuracy. Field notes captured contextual details and emotional expressions. All data were securely stored, and pseudonyms ensured participant anonymity. To ensure trustworthiness, the study followed established qualitative standards, incorporating strategies for credibility, transferability, dependability, and confirmability as recommended by Lincoln and Guba (1985).

**Researcher Reflexivity**

The researchers are Nigerian scholars with academic training in psychology and social sciences and had no prior relationship with the participants. Cultural familiarity with Nigerian family systems informed ethical sensitivity during data collection, while reflexive journaling and peer debriefing were used to minimize bias and enhance confirmability.

**Ethical Considerations**

Ethical standards of informed consent, confidentiality, voluntary participation, and the right to withdraw were strictly observed. Culturally sensitive approaches were adopted during recruitment and interviewing, particularly regarding religion and family conflict (Orb, Eisenhauer, &Wynaden, 2001).

**Data Analysis**

Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis was conducted and thematic analysis following Braun and Clarke's (2006) six-phase framework was also employed:

1. **Familiarization:** Researchers read transcripts repeatedly and wrote initial memos.
2. **Generating Codes:** Line-by-line coding captured both explicit and latent meanings.
3. **Searching for Themes:** Codes were grouped into preliminary themes such as identity conflict, family opposition, emotional resilience, and negotiating religious practices.
4. **Reviewing Themes:** Themes were refined for coherence and distinction.
5. **Defining Themes:** Clear definitions and supporting quotes were established for each theme.
6. **Producing the Report:** Themes were integrated into a coherent narrative linked to theoretical frameworks, including acculturation, identity negotiation, and ecological systems theories.

This process allowed a systematic and nuanced understanding of how Nigerian intercultural couples navigate and psychologically adjust to complex relational and cultural environments.

**Data Analysis Rigor**

The study employed Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis (IPA) to explore the lived experiences of intercultural couples (Smith, Flowers, & Larkin, 2022), focusing on identity negotiation, conflict, and resilience while acknowledging the researchers' interpretative role. Fifteen purposively selected couples representing diverse ethnic, linguistic, and religious backgrounds participated, and data collection was conducted through in-depth semi-structured interviews. To ensure rigor, independent coding, consensus discussions, member checking, and a detailed audit trail were employed. The researchers, Nigerian scholars with no prior relationship to participants, used reflexive journaling and peer debriefing to minimize bias and enhance confirmability.

## RESULTS

The data revealed three major superordinate themes that captured the participants' experiences while revealing the psychological and emotional dynamics of their marital experiences.

**Introduction to Themes**

Analysis of the interviews revealed three main organizing themes**:** Cultural Negotiation and Identity Adaptation, Familial and Societal Resistance, and Emotional Resilience and coping strategy each with distinct sub-themes. Identity encompassed sub-themes such as *cultural negotiation, shared marital identity, and adaptation of personal traditions*. Conflict included *values-based disagreements, extended family influence, and communication challenges*. Resilience captured *coping strategies, social support, and personal growth through marital experiences*. These themes provide a structured lens to understand the psychological adjustment of intercultural couples in Nigeria.

Each theme is presented below with illustrative vignettes to convey the lived experiences of participants.

**Theme 1: Cultural Negotiation and Identity Adaptation**

Couples reported ongoing negotiation of their cultural, religious, and linguistic differences. While many described an initial "romantic optimism," challenges emerged as both partners struggled to reconcile traditions, household expectations, and values.

**Vignette 1 – Adamu and Ifeoma (Pseudonyms) (Hausa-Muslim & Igbo-Catholic couple):**

> "During Ramadan, I wanted us to fast together," Adamu shared.

"But Ifeoma, who's Catholic, didn't understand why I took it so seriously. We argued at first, and then she suggested we observe it differently but respectfully."

Ifeoma added, "We both started learning more about each other's faiths, not to convert, but to connect."

This theme highlights identity negotiation as a continuous process, shaped by acculturation and mutual compromise.

Most participants described marriage as a process of cultural learning and personal transformation. Partners had to confront differences in gender roles, child-rearing philosophies, language, and everyday customs.

**Vignette 2 – Sade and Nsa (Pseudonyms) (Yoruba woman &Efik man):**

> "In my culture, the man pays for everything, even during dating," Sade said. "But Nsa was raised differently. His mum taught him that a woman should share in

> everything."
> Nsa added, "It caused tension. She thought I was stingy. I thought she was entitled. Eventually, we had a long talk and adjusted our expectations."

In such cases, partners engaged in what Ting-Toomey (2015) describes as **identity negotiation**, adjusting behaviors without losing a sense of self.

**Vignette 3 – Bassey and Ronke (Pseudonyms) (Efik man & Yoruba woman):**

> "My parents expected Ronke to kneel to greet elders," Bassey said. "She's Yoruba, and they bow instead. It became a quiet point of contention during her first visit to Calabar."

Ronke added, "We had to rehearse greetings like a skit. I didn't want to offend his parents, but I also didn't want to pretend to be Efik."

This theme underscores the balancing act between authenticity and adaptation, which many couples navigate repeatedly.

**Theme 2: Familial and Societal Resistance**

A common experience was rejection or skepticism from family members and community networks. Resistance was particularly strong when the marriage involved interreligious unions or ethnic groups with histories of political tension.

**Vignette 1 – Tola and Musa (Pseudonyms) (Yoruba-Muslim & Fulani-Muslim couple):**

> "My father didn't speak to me for months," Tola recalled. "He kept saying, 'We don't marry Fulani people; they're different.'"

Musa added, "Even though we're both Muslim, the tribal difference was enough for people to assume it wouldn't work."

This theme underscores the psychological strain of external disapproval, often requiring couples to emotionally detach from traditional expectations or renegotiate their support networks. Intercultural couples commonly faced opposition from families, rooted in ethnic, linguistic, or religious biases. Resistance was often subtle, manifesting through passive disapproval, delayed blessings, or derogatory comments.

**Vignette 2 – Yetunde and Eyo (Pseudonyms) (Yoruba-Christian &Efik-Christian couple):**

> "His uncle asked if Yoruba women could be faithful wives," Yetunde recounted, visibly upset. "I was shocked. I'd never even considered that tribalism could be so personal."

Eyo added, "After that, we agreed to keep visits short. It's painful, but protecting our peace matters more."

Even among Christian-Christian unions like Yoruba-Efik, **regional stereotypes** still played a role in shaping family reactions, affecting the couple's psychological safety and emotional regulation.

**Vignette 3 – Femi and Ini (Pseudonyms) (Yoruba man &Efik woman):**

"My mother said, 'Efik girls are too fashionable. They like enjoyment too much,'" Femi shared. "I laughed, but I could see Ini felt uncomfortable."

Ini noted, "Now I'm very cautious around his family, I don't want to be seen as excessive. It's tiring to always manage impressions."

Here, participants reported experiencing minority stress, where being culturally "other" triggered emotional strain within extended family dynamics.

**Theme 3: Emotional Resilience and Coping Strategies**

Participants described a range of coping mechanisms to manage emotional stress: prayer, counseling, private rituals, shared humor, and selective disclosure. Women often emphasized the emotional toll of being the cultural minority within their spouse's extended family.

**Vignette 1 – Chinyere and Ahmed (Pseudonyms) (Igbo-Christian & Hausa-Muslim couple):**

"When we visit his village, I wear a scarf and stay quiet. It's not suppression it's survival,"

Chinyere said. Ahmed replied, "She never complains, but I know it's hard. So after those trips, we take a weekend off for just us to unwind."

Despite emotional hardship, couples developed resilience through alliance, often prioritizing their bond over cultural expectations.

Couples demonstrated remarkable resilience by developing **private coping strategies** such as emotional check-ins, joint spiritual practices, and mutual rituals.

**Vignette 2 – Uduak and Kunle (Pseudonyms) (Efik woman & Yoruba man):**

"Whenever we fight about culture, we journal separately and then exchange notes,"

Uduak explained. "It helps us understand without shouting." Kunle added, "We also pray together every Monday just to reset."

Many participants described how shared rituals became anchors for emotional stability and psychological adjustment. Humor, affection, and selective disengagement from toxic relatives were also cited as coping tools.

**Growth, Unity, and Meaning-Making**

Nearly all couples described how intercultural marriage, despite challenges, enriched their worldview and psychological depth. They spoke of transformative learning, empathy, and building a new "third culture" within their household.

**Vignette 1 – Amina and Emeka (Pseudonyms) (Kanuri-Muslim & Igbo-Christian couple):**

> "We created our own traditions," Emeka shared. "We light a candle every Sunday and every Friday evening one for her faith, one for mine."

Amina added, "It's not just about compromise; it's about co-creating meaning. We're not two cultures clashing we're a family."

This theme illustrates a positive psychological adjustment, rooted in shared values, mutual respect, and a dynamic cultural identity.

Despite challenges, most couples emphasized how intercultural marriage deepened their sense of empathy, tolerance, and global-mindedness.

**Vignette 2 – Kemi and Etim (Pseudonyms) (Yoruba woman &Efik man):**

> "Our kids speak both languages. They call plantain *dodo* and *ukom*. It's beautiful," Kemi said, smiling. Etim added, "We're building something new not Yoruba, not Efik, just us."

Such responses reflect a process of cultural synthesis, where a couple moves beyond accommodation to co-create a new identity a theme closely aligned with Berry's (2005) integration strategy in acculturation theory.

**Summary of Findings**

The lived experiences of intercultural couples in Nigeria particularly Yoruba-Efik, Yoruba-Igbo and Hausa-Yoruba pairings illustrate that cultural difference, while a source of psychological friction, can also foster deep personal growth. Key to successful adjustment were:

- Mutual respect and empathy,
- Boundary management with extended family,
- Flexible identity negotiation, and

- The creation of new shared rituals and meaning.

While some couples experienced emotional fatigue, most found strength in their commitment and gradually developed cross-cultural resilience. The experiences of these Nigerian intercultural couples suggest that while such marriages involve significant emotional and cultural labor, many couples develop sophisticated strategies to cope, grow, and reframe their relationships. Psychological adjustment is nonlinear and mediated by both internal dynamics (identity, emotional flexibility) and external pressures (familial, societal, religious).

**Discussion**

The experiences of intercultural couples in this study align with key tenets of acculturation theory (Berry, 2005), identity negotiation theory (Ting-Toomey, 2015), and Bronfenbrenner's ecological systems theory (1979). The finding that familial disapproval significantly exacerbates conflict aligns with Ting-Toomey's (2005) Face-Negotiation Theory by highlighting the critical role of the extended family's honour (face) in a collectivistic Nigerian context. Participants frequently navigated the psychological tension between integration (maintaining distinct cultural identities) and assimilation (adapting to their partner's culture), with most seeking a middle ground that preserved both backgrounds while co-creating new household norms.

The study's findings echo prior global research that identifies intercultural unions as sites of both psychological stress and growth (Fu et al., 2019; Molina et al., 2004). Similar to studies in multicultural societies like the U.S. and South Africa, Nigerian couples described intercultural marriage as a dynamic process involving repeated negotiation of cultural scripts, emotional boundaries, and values. However, the Nigerian context added unique layers shaped by ethnic politics, religious division, and family systems factors underexplored in previous African-focused research.

**The Role of Nigerian Socio-Cultural Dynamics**

Nigeria's social structure is deeply influenced by patriarchal norms, ethno-religious affiliation, and extended kinship

systems. In the study, male partners especially from the Yoruba and Hausa groups often wielded greater decision-making power within the marriage, a dynamic that complicated the process of mutual cultural adaptation. This echoes findings by Adegoke (2021), who noted that Nigerian marriages often reinforce gender hierarchies, even in intercultural pairings.Religion, too, played a significant role, particularly when partners belonged to Christian-Muslim unions. For some, religious dissonance was a greater barrier than ethnic difference. This aligns with Osei-Tutu and Boateng's (2020) argument that religious identity in African societies is often seen as immutable, unlike language or food, which may be negotiated.

Extended families functioned as gatekeepers of cultural continuity, often resisting intercultural unions to prevent "dilution" of lineage or customs. For example, Yoruba and Efik families were sometimes depicted as cultural "custodians," emphasizing specific greetings, rites, and norms. This dynamic reflects the mesosystem level in Bronfenbrenner's theory, where the interaction between individual and family systems directly affects psychological outcomes.

Cultural Flexibility and Emotional Intelligence as Mediators of Adjustment

A key finding was that couples who adjusted successfully demonstrated high levels of cultural flexibility, a concept similar to cognitive empathy and intercultural competence (Hammer et al., 2003). These individuals could de-center their worldview, appreciate their partner's cultural logic, and create hybrid rituals that symbolized unity. Equally important was emotional intelligence, the ability to regulate affect, empathize, and communicate under cultural stress. Couples who practiced reflective listening, journaling, or emotion-focused coping (Lazarus & Folkman, 1984) were more likely to build resilience rather than resort to withdrawal or conflict.This suggests that psychological adjustment in intercultural marriages is not solely a function of cultural compatibility but also of intrapersonal and interpersonal skills a point underemphasized in Nigerian research.

**Implications for Therapy, Counseling, and Social Support Structures**

The findings have significant implications for psychological practice in Nigeria and other multicultural societies. First, marriage counselors and family therapists must be trained in cultural humility and intercultural dynamics. Standard therapeutic

frameworks that ignore ethnicity, religion, and kinship obligations are unlikely to resonate with Nigerian clients navigating intercultural unions.

Second, premarital and marital counseling programs should incorporate modules on cultural negotiation, emotional intelligence, and conflict resolution. Faith-based institutions and community leaders, who often officiate or endorse marriages, should also be educated on intercultural sensitivity to reduce stigmatization.

Third, peer support groups for intercultural couples could offer a valuable outlet for sharing experiences, developing collective coping strategies, and validating the complexity of their journeys. Such groups may especially benefit women, who often face dual pressures of cultural integration and emotional labor.

Lastly, psychological services must be context-sensitive. Therapists working with Nigerian intercultural couples should recognize that family involvement is normative, not pathological, and should engage families as part of systemic interventions when appropriate.

## Conclusion

This study explored the lived experiences of intercultural couples in Nigeria through a phenomenological lens, focusing on how they psychologically adjust to cultural, religious, and ethnic differences. The findings revealed that while intercultural unions present unique emotional and social challenges especially within Nigeria's patriarchal and ethnically segmented society many couples also experience profound growth, cultural learning, and relational resilience.

Key mediators of successful adjustment included cultural flexibility, emotional intelligence, mutual respect, and strategic boundary-setting with extended families. Participants demonstrated that while external resistance particularly from kin, religious communities, and societal norms can be intense, it is possible to build psychologically stable and culturally inclusive unions through deliberate negotiation and empathy.

The study contributes to the growing but still limited body of research on intercultural relationships in sub-Saharan Africa and highlights the need to understand these marriages not as outliers, but as emerging social forms with transformative potential. Conclusively the study provides the first phenomenological account of intercultural marital resilience in Nigeria and emphasizes the need for systemic support structures.

**Recommendations**

Based on the findings, the following recommendations are offered for relevant stakeholders:

For Marriage Therapists and Psychologists:

1. For clinical practice in Nigeria, Counseling protocols for intercultural couples in Nigeria should not focus solely on the dyad, but must integrate extended family mediation techniques, recognizing their central role in the couple's psychological adjustment."
2. Develop culturally informed therapeutic models that recognize the complex interplay of ethnicity, religion, gender roles, and family systems in Nigerian marriages.
3. Integrate modules on cultural competence, emotional regulation, and adaptive communication into marital therapy frameworks.
4. Offercouples-based psychoeducation that facilitates intercultural understanding and identity integration.

For Religious Leaders:

1. Promote inclusive narratives about marriage that emphasize love, mutual respect, and the sacredness of diversity, rather than strict ethnic or denominational compatibility.
2. Provide interfaith counseling programs for prospective intercultural couples to address theological concerns before conflict arises.
3. Serve as mediators between couples and their extended families, helping to ease tensions and dismantle cultural stereotypes.

For Social Educators and Policy Advocates:

1. Incorporate intercultural sensitivity training into school and university curricula, particularly in counseling, social work, and teacher education programs.
2. Encourage public discourse that deconstructs myths about intercultural unions and celebrates Nigeria's pluralism.
3. Support media and civic campaigns that frame intercultural marriage as a potential tool for national unity and peaceful coexistence.

**Limitation**

1. Non-Generalizability: Qualitative findings are as these are context-specific.

2. Social Desirability Bias: Participants in successful marriages may underreport conflict

3. Researcher Bias: The notion that interpretation is mediated qualitative research by the researchers' cultural lens may apply.

**Suggestions for Future Research**

While this study has provided deep insights, several gaps remain that merit further exploration:

Longitudinal research could examine how intercultural couples evolve over time, including changes in marital satisfaction, parenting practices, and identity fusion.

A gendered analysis would shed light on how men and women experience and manage cultural differences differently within marriage, particularly in patriarchal settings.Future studies could expand the sample to include older couples, same-ethnic but interreligious unions, or diaspora Nigerians, adding further nuance to understanding how context shapes adjustment.Comparative studies between urban and rural intercultural unions would also reveal how geographic and socio-economic factors affect the psychological outcomes of these marriages.

.

**Disclosure statement**

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest related to this study.

**Funding**

The authors confirmed that no external funding was received for the research or publication of this article.

## REFERENCES

Adegoke, T. G. (2010). Socio-cultural factors as determinants of divorce rates among women of reproductive age in Ibadan Metropolis, Nigeria. *Studies of Tribes and Tribals*, *8*(2), 107–114.

Adegoke, T. G. (2021). Gender, culture, and conflict in Nigerian marriages. *Journal of Family and Cultural Studies*, *18*(2), 112–127. https://doi.org/10.1177/17456916211009832

Adewuyi, T. D. (2018). Intercultural marriage and its implications on cultural identity in Nigeria. *African Journal of Social Sciences*, *13*(1), 45–57.

Barta, K., Kóbor, A., & Takács, K. (2019). Language barriers and emotional

intimacy in intercultural relationships. *Journal of Intercultural Communication Research*, *48*(2), 165–181. https://doi.org/10.1080/17475759.2019.1593835

Berry, J. W. (1997). Immigration, acculturation, and adaptation. *Applied Psychology*, *46*(1), 5–34. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1464-0597.1997.tb01087.x

Berry, J. W. (2005). Acculturation: Living successfully in two cultures. *International Journal of Intercultural Relations*, *29*(6), 697–712. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijintrel.2005.07.013

Biever, J. L., Castañeda, R., González, C. G., & Servín-López, S. (2021).*Cultural adaptation: Tools for evidence-based practice with diverse populations.* Sage Publications.

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, *3*(2), 77–101. https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa

Bronfenbrenner, U. (1979). *The ecology of human development: Experiments by nature and design*. Harvard University Press.

Bronfenbrenner, U., & Morris, P. A. (2006). The bioecological model of human development. In W. Damon & R. M. Lerner (Eds.), *Handbook of child psychology* (6th ed., Vol. 1, pp. 793–828). Wiley.

Chen, W., & Broady, T. R. (2021). Intercultural couples and the psychological impact of social discrimination. *Journal of Family Issues*, *42*(5), 1253–1276. https://doi.org/10.1177/0192513X20967924

Cools, C. A. (2021). Cross-cultural value conflicts in romantic relationships: Communication as a coping tool. *Intercultural Communication Studies*, *30*(2), 56–74.

Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2018). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches* (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.

Edewor, P. A. (2014). Inter-ethnic marriages and family values in Nigeria. *International Journal of Sociology and Anthropology Research*, *1*(1), 14–24.

Fons-Scheyd, A., Bertsch, K. N., & Johnson, M. A. (2020). The emotional complexity of intercultural marriages: A systematic review. *Marriage & Family Review*, *56*(3), 249–272. https://doi.org/10.1080/01494929.2020.1712324

Fu, X., Tora, B., & Miller, R. B. (2019). Intercultural marriage: A study of adjustment and satisfaction in global contexts. *Marriage & Family Review*, *55*(1), 78–98. https://doi.org/10.1080/01494929.2018.1458007

Lincoln, Y. S., & Guba, E. G. (1985). *Naturalistic inquiry*. Sage Publications.

Ghasemi, A., & Mazaheri, N. (2023). Intercultural communication competence and marital adjustment: A meta-analytic review. *Journal of*

*Multicultural Counseling and Development*, *51*(2), 85–104. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmcd.12345

Guest, G., Bunce, A., & Johnson, L. (2006). How many interviews are enough? An experiment with data saturation and variability. *Field Methods*, *18*(1), 59–82. https://doi.org/10.1177/1525822X05279903

Guan, Y., He, J., & Li, Z. (2021). Acculturative stress and marital satisfaction in intercultural couples: The moderating role of cultural intelligence. *International Journal of Psychology*, *56*(6), 833–842. https://doi.org/10.1002/ijop.12785

Gusau, A. S. (2020). Intercultural marriage and national integration: Perspectives from Northern Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Sociology*, *18*(2), 101–118.

Hammer, M. R., Bennett, M. J., & Wiseman, R. (2003). Measuring intercultural sensitivity: The intercultural development inventory. *International Journal of Intercultural Relations*, *27*(4), 421–443. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0147-1767(03)00032-4

Isiugo-Abanihe, U. C. (1998). Stability of marital unions and fertility in Nigeria. *Journal of Biosocial Science*, *30*(1), 33–41. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0021932098000330

Killian, K. D. (2013). Intercultural couples: Exploring diversity in intimate relationships. *Routledge*.https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203720826

Kim, S. Y., & Harris, K. L. (2022). Navigating emotional labor in intercultural relationships. *International Journal of Intercultural Relations*, *87*, 17–28. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijintrel.2021.12.005

Lazarus, R. S., & Folkman, S. (1984). *Stress, appraisal, and coping*. Springer.

Maehara, Y., & Takahashi, A. (2022). Cultural identity conflicts and psychological distress in intercultural marriages. *Asian Journal of Social Psychology*, *25*(1), 45–57. https://doi.org/10.1111/ajsp.12487

Molina, B., Estrada, M. A., & Burnett, J. (2004). Interethnic marriages: Beyond cultural differences. *Journal of Comparative Family Studies*, *35*(3), 403–419. https://doi.org/10.3138/jcfs.35.3.403

Nanditha, R., & Pitt, L. (2023). Intercultural marriage and psychological resilience: A grounded theory approach. *Family Relations*, *72*(2), 389–404. https://doi.org/10.1111/fare.12620

Nguyen, A. M. D., & Benet-Martínez, V. (2022). Biculturalism and adjustment: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology*, *53*(1), 3–25. https://doi.org/10.1177/00220221211046667

Obiesie, H. A., & Ekanem, O. E. (2021). Familial disapproval and marital stress in Nigerian intercultural unions. *African Journal of Psychology and Behavioral Sciences*, *9*(1), 73–86.

Okafor, E. E., &Atinmo, M. (2017). Ethnic intermarriage and social cohesion in Nigeria. *Journal of Social Development in Africa*, *32*(1), 77–94.

Okon, E. E. (2022). The positive psychology of intercultural marriages in Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Psychological Research*, *10*(1), 55–70.

Olayiwola, R. (2016). Intercultural marriage and religious pluralism in Nigeria. *Ilorin Journal of Religious Studies*, *6*(2), 143–159.

Olutayo, A. O., &Akanle, O. (2009). The sociology of the family in Nigeria. *Ibadan Journal of the Social Sciences*, *7*(1), 55–68.

Onah, I. M., &Agbaji, J. M. (2023). Understanding intercultural unions through ecological systems theory: Implications for counseling in Nigeria. *Journal of African Psychology*, *4*(1), 88–105.

Orb, A., Eisenhauer, L., &Wynaden, D. (2001). Ethics in qualitative research. *Journal of Nursing Scholarship*, *33*(1), 93–96. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1547-5069.2001.00093.x

Osei-Tutu, E., & Boateng, F. D. (2020). Faith, family, and fusion: The challenge of interreligious marriages in Africa. *African Journal of Religion and Culture*, *12*(1), 23–37. https://doi.org/10.5897/AJRC2020.0567

Palinkas, L. A., Horwitz, S. M., Green, C. A., Wisdom, J. P., Duan, N., &Hoagwood, K. (2015). Purposeful sampling for qualitative data collection and analysis in mixed method implementation research. *Administration and Policy in Mental Health and Mental Health Services Research*, *42*(5), 533–544. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10488-013-0528-y

Salawu, B. (2010). Ethno-religious conflicts in Nigeria: Causal analysis and proposals for new management strategies. *European Journal of Social Sciences*, *13*(3), 345–353.

Sharma, A., & Leong, F. T. L. (2021). Intercultural marriage and mental health outcomes: A comparative study. *International Journal of Psychology*, *56*(4), 562–573. https://doi.org/10.1002/ijop.12720

Singh, S., & Hynie, M. (2020). Gendered experiences of intercultural marriage: A review of challenges and coping strategies. *Journal of Family Studies*, *26*(2),234–248. https://doi.org/10.1080/13229400.2018.1515101

Smith, J. A., Flowers, P., & Larkin, M. (2022). *Interpretative phenomenological analysis: Theory, method and research* (2nd ed.). SAGE Publications.

Ting-Toomey, S. (2005). The matrix of face: An updated face-negotiation theory. In W. B. Gudykunst (Ed.), *Theorizing about intercultural communication* (pp. 71–92). Sage.

Ting-Toomey, S. (2015). *The matrix of face: An updated face-negotiation theory*. In W. B. Gudykunst (Ed.), *Theorizing about intercultural communication* (2nd ed., pp. 69–92). SAGE Publications.

Van Manen, M. (2016). *Researching lived experience: Human science for an action sensitive pedagogy* (2nd ed.). Routledge.

Young, Y. H., &Gudykunst, W. B. (2020). Communication competence in intercultural romantic relationships. *Journal of Intercultural Communication Research*, *49*(1), 32–47. https://doi.org/10.1080/17475759.2020.1718731